

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

(109)

CWP-19934-2021

Date of Decision : May 13, 2022

Bholla Prasad Gupta

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rajeev Anand, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present case, the question of law raised has already been decided by this Court while passing the order in *CWP No.16446 of 2010 titled as Jaswinder Singh Bedi and others versus State of Punjab and others, decided on 20.05.2013* but still, the benefit has not been extended to the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner was not party to the said petition. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the judgment of the Division Bench of this Court in *CWP No. 4382 of 2002 titled as Satbir Singh Vs. State of Haryana decided on 21.03.2002*, once the employees are held entitled for a particular benefit, the same should be extended to all the similarly situated employees by the State rather than forcing everyone to approach the Court claiming the same relief. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the respondents are liable to be directed to grant the benefit to the petitioner as given to the petitioner in *Jaswinder*

*Singh Bedi's case (supra).*

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondents by filing a representation dated 22.12.2019 (Annexure P-11) which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Anju Arora, learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 22.12.2019 (Annexure P-11) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 22.12.2019 (Annexure P-11), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 22.12.2019 (Annexure P-11) within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

**May 13, 2022**  
*harsha*

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No