

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(141)

CWP No. 15318 of 2022

Date of Decision : 18.07.2022

Amarvati and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that the petitioners were called several times to prove their eligibility by the respondents keeping in view their selection to the post of PGT Sanskrit as well as PGT Geography but keeping in view the latest decision of the respondents dated 18.04.2022 (Annexure P-18), no further eligibility needs to be proved. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the respondents are under obligation to grant the petitioners appointment in pursuance to their selection now keeping in view their decision dated 18.04.2022 (Annexure P-18).

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and states that the petitioners have filed the

present writ petition seeking the mandamus but before filing the present petition, the petitioners have not approached the department at all hence, the present petition is pre-mature and not maintainable. Learned State counsel submits that in case the petitioners had any grievance, they should have approached the authorities first.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the said objection being taken by the State, the present petition may kindly be allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to the petitioners to approach the respondents by filing appropriate representation and respondents may kindly be directed to decide the said representation expeditiously as the petitioners are fighting for their right since the year 2013 onwards.

Learned State counsel submits that in case any representation is filed by the petitioners, the same will be considered on merits and decided within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of such representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the above, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

July 18, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	✓ Yes/No
Whether reportable?	✓ Yes/No