

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-14664-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision : 23.03.2022

Anil Kumar

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. S.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanisth Ganeriwala, AAG, Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, *inter alia*, for quashing of impugned transfer order dated 31.08.2020 (P-2) qua the petitioner, whereby, he was transferred from Nurbur Bedi Range to Shi Anandpur Sahib Range.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the concerned quarter, submits that petitioner has retired from service after attaining the age of superannuation; thus, the instant petition is rendered infructuous.

The above factual position is duly acknowledged by learned counsel for the petitioner as well.

In view of the above, present petition is disposed off as having been rendered infructuous.

23.03.2022
atulsethi

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No