

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

268

**CRM-M No.33647 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 19.09.2022**

Dr. Seerat Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Prubjyot Singh Sidana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Ramta Chowdhary, DAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. L.M. Gulati, Advocate
for respondents No.2 & 3.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(ORAL)

By way of the instant petition, the petitioner has invoked the jurisdiction of this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C for seeking the quashing of the FIR bearing No.179 dated 07.07.2021 registered at Police Station Maqboolpura, Police Commissionerate Amritsar, under Sections 279, 337, 338 and 427 IPC as well as all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, while averring that the parties have arrived at a compromise qua their dispute, leading to the registration of the said FIR.

2. Shorn and short of unnecessary details, the allegations, as levelled against the petitioner in the subject FIR, are that on 30.06.2021,

she had driven the Car bearing registration No.PB-10-FS-3999 on the public road in a rash and negligent manner at a very high speed and had, thereby, caused the accident resulting into the simple as well as grievous injuries on the person of informant Bhupinder Singh.

3. Vide the order dated 16.12.2021 as passed by the Co-ordinate Bench, the parties were directed to appear before learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements in respect of the said compromise. In compliance of the above-said order, learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Amritsar, recorded their (parties') statements and has submitted his report (which is already available on the file), mentioning therein that the compromise effected between the parties is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence and there is only one accused, i.e the petitioner and only one victim, i.e respondent No.2, in this case and the petitioner has not been declared as proclaimed offender and is also not involved in any other criminal case and that though, the daughter of the informant, namely Nasreen Kaur, i.e respondent No.3, did not suffer any injury in the said accident but her statement has also been recorded. Separate statements of the petitioner, respondents No.2 and 3 and of the Investigating Officer named ASI Kuldeep Singh, have been annexed with the above-mentioned report.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 in the present petition and have also perused the file carefully.

5. The afore-said compromise has been affected to put the

dispute between the parties at rest for all the times to come and the same would promote peaceful, harmonious and cordial relations between them. It being so, there are bleak chances of the conviction of the petitioner and in these circumstances, the continuation of the proceedings in the case arising out of the said FIR, would be an exercise in futility.

6. Keeping in view the above-discussed facts and circumstances and also the observations as made by the Apex Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and another (2012) 4 RCR (Criminal) 543**, the FIR bearing No.179 dated 07.07.2021 registered at Police Station Maqboolpura, Police Commissionerate Amritsar, under Sections 279, 337, 338 and 427 IPC as well as all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom (if any), are hereby quashed. The petition in hand stands allowed accordingly.

19.09.2022
neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>