

238 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-3523-2017

Date of decision :08.08.2022

Bal Krishan

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors.

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Mayur Karkra, Advocate for
Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rupender Singh Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Rajinder Goyal, Advocate for respondent No.8.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

This revision petition has filed for challenging the impugned order dated 24.07.2017 passed by Ld. Addl. Sessions Judge, Jind, whereby the application under Section 319 Cr.P.C. filed by the present petitioner for summoning the accused/respondents No.2 to 8 has been dismissed.

Learned State counsel on instruction from ASI Amit Kumar states that the accused has been convicted by the Court below and as such the present petition has been rendered infructuous which fact is not comprised by learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

**(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

08.08.2022

manju

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No