

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-26201-2019  
Reserved on: 30.08.2022  
Date of Pronouncement: 30.09.2022

Jagga & others  
...Petitioner (s)  
Versus  
State of Punjab & another  
...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Gulzar Mohd., Advocate  
for the petitioner(s).  
  
Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, DAG, Punjab.  
  
Mr. Rajesh Gupta, Advocate  
for respondent no.2.  
\*\*\*\*

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

| FIR No. | Dated    | Police Station                           | Sections                    |
|---------|----------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 20      | 2.3.2019 | Kartarpur, District Jalandhar<br>(Rural) | 323, 452, 427, 148, 149 IPC |

The petitioners, arraigned as accused in the above captioned FIR, have come up before this Court under Section 482 CrPC for quashing of the FIR and all consequential proceedings based on the compromise with the aggrieved persons.

2. During the pendency of the petition, the accused and the aggrieved person have compromised the matter, and its copy is annexed with this petition as Annexure P-2.

3. After that, the petitioners came up before this Court to quash the FIR, and in the quashing petition, impleading the aggrieved persons as respondents.

4. On 16.9.2019 and 13.12.2019, the aggrieved person Rajwinder Kaur (R-2) and Manjit Kaur (R-3) respectively appeared before the JMJC, Jalandhar and stated that there would be no objection if the court quashes this FIR and consequent proceedings. As per the concerned court's report, the parties consented to the quashing of FIR and consequent proceedings without any threat.

**ANALYSIS & REASONING:**

5. However, the petitioners did not arraign injured Yusuf and Malkiat Singh as respondents in this case.

6. Since all the victims did not state about their no objection to the compromise and also the reasons which led to the compromise, as such this court cannot proceed further in this matter.

7. Given above, the petition is dismissed. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioners to file a fresh petition and there would be no necessity re-examine the already examined aggrieved and the accused, whose statements stand recorded. The copies of the statements along with report would suffice.

**Petition dismissed in the terms mentioned above, with liberty reserved.**

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)**  
**JUDGE**

30-09-2022

AK

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes

Whether reportable:

No.