

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

136

CWP-15257-2022

Date of Decision :18.07.2022

Urbadutt Satyawalia

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Aman Priye Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the benefits, which are being sought by the petitioner in the present writ petition, have already been extended by the respondents to a similarly situated employee namely, Kanwar Bhan, who had approached this Court by way of filing a CWP No.6689-2010. Learned counsel for the petitioner further argues that once the benefit of service, which the petitioner had rendered with the Government of Haryana, has been treated as valid service for the grant of benefit of ACP in the case of a similarly situated employee namely, Kanwar Bhan, the same should have been extended to the petitioner, when the case of the said Kanwar Bhan was decided by this Court vide order dated 09.04.2010, when the petitioner was in service. Prayer of the petitioner is for issuance of a direction to the respondents to extend the same benefits as extended to a similarly situated employee namely, Kanwar Bhan with all consequential benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondents by way of filing a representation dated 31.05.2012 (Annexure P/2), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondent No.2 to decide the said representation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 31.05.2012 (Annexure P/2) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in his representation, respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation dated 31.05.2012 (Annexure P/2) by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order. In case after the decision, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefit, the same will be extended to him within a four weeks thereafter.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

July 18, 2022

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No