

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRWP No. 6735 of 2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 14.7.2022

Shalvi Yadav and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Rakesh Nuniwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Simsi Dhir Malhotra, APP, U.T., Chandigarh.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

CRM-854-2022

The application is allowed as prayed for.

CRWP-6735-2022

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus hence directing respondents No. 1 to 3 to give protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners, and also against any interference in the peaceful life of the petitioners being made at the behest of respondents No. 4 and 5.
2. The learned State Counsel, does not have any objection, to an order being made by this Court to the respondents concerned, to look into and decide through a speaking order, representation Annexure P-6.
3. Consequently, this Court directs the respondents concerned, to within three weeks hereafter, hence decide Annexure P-6, through a speaking order.

4. At this stage, Mr. Jashandeep Singh Sandhu, Advocate, under memo of appearance, records his appearance on behalf of respondents No. 4, and, 5, and, submits that though they have no objection to the marriage solemnized inter se the co-petitioners, as both of them have acquired the age of discretion to enter into a lawful wedlock, but yet the parents of co-petitioner No. 1, have aspired to access their daughter, hence for knowing about her happiness, and, well being in the company of co-petitioner No. 2.

5. The above prayer cannot be rejected, as it is the natural aspiration of the parents to access their married daughter rather for inquiring into her well being, and, happiness.

6. Therefore, co-respondent No. 3 is directed to ensure that on a date convenient to both the parties, he accompanies co-respondents No. 4, and, 5, at the extant place of abode of the co-petitioners, to enable them to access, and, interact with their daughter.

7. Petition is disposed of.

8. A copy of this order be given dasti on payment of usual charges.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

July 14, 2022
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No