

CRM-M-29895-2022

Date of Decision :07.09.2022

SUKHDEV SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Manvinder Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner pending conclusion of trial in case FIR No.0095 dated 05.05.2018 registered for the offence under Section 17 of the NDPS Act, 1985 at Police Station Ellenabad, District Sirsa.

[2] Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been in custody for a period of more than three and a half years. Challan in the case was presented on 13.08.2018. Charges were framed on 26.07.2019 and out of 17 prosecution witnesses, only 07 have been examined till date but in view of the recovery being of commercial quantity, the petitioner does not press the instant petition and would be satisfied if the instant petition is disposed of by directing the learned trial Court to conclude the trial expeditiously in a time bound manner.

[3] Learned AAG on instructions from SI Naresh Kumar states that in the circumstances he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

[4] In view of the position noted above and without commenting

upon the merits of the case, the instant petition is disposed of by directing the learned trial Court to take steps to conclude the trial as expeditiously and possible within six months from today in view of the petitioner having been in custody for a period of more than three and a half years.

07.09.2022

'Amit'

(B.S. Walia)

Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No