

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

220

CRM-M-29819-2022

Date of Decision : **September 06, 2022**

AMRITPAL ALIAS PARVEEN

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present : Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, DAG, Haryana.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI. J. (Oral)

The present is a second petition filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.166 dated 23.4.2021 under Sections 120-B, 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC, registered at Police Station Chandimandir, District Panchkula.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody from 24.4.2021 and the material witnesses in the present case including the complainant have been examined. He submitted that infact earlier the petitioner had filed a petition for grant of regular bail which was dismissed by way of a detailed order dated 28.3.2022 vide Annexure P-2. He further submitted that since thereafter the witnesses have now been examined, he may be considered for the grant of regular bail.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel has submitted that it is a case which is of a serious nature wherein the petitioner posed himself as Secretary of the Judge of the High Court and there is video footage whereby he was demanding money from the complainant and from the petitioner there was a recovery of two fake joining letters and one duty chart of the High Court Clerks. He submitted that the present petition would also be not maintainable in view of the detailed order

passed by this Court vide Annexure P-2 and there is a serious apprehension that in case the petitioner is granted bail then he may pose threat to the remaining witnesses as well as the complainant. He further submitted that the material witnesses have supported the prosecution version at the time of deposition before the learned trial Court. He submitted that the petitioner is also involved in five more cases. He further submitted that the other two co-accused, namely, Jasmer and Sushil Malik are still absconding and has vehemently opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

I have heard the learned counsels for the parties.

Earlier also the petitioner had filed bail petition which was dismissed by this Court vide Annexure P-2 by passing a detailed order. This Court dismissed the bail petition of the petitioner while considering the magnitude, intensity and seriousness of the case wherein the petitioner had allegedly posed himself to be a Secretary of the Judge of the High Court and various other factors on the basis of which the bail application was declined by this Court.

This Court has given thoughtful consideration to the present petition filed by the petitioner and is of the view that although the successive bail application is maintainable but in the facts and circumstances of the present case considering the intensity and seriousness of the case, the petitioner is not entitled for the grant of regular bail.

Consequently, the present petition is dismissed.

However, anything observed here-in-above shall have no effect on the merits of the case and is meant for deciding the present petition only.

September 06, 2022

ajay-1

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No