

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

**CRWP No. 6705 of 2022
Date of Decision: 14.7.2022**

Tanu Rani and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Veeraj Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Siddharth Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. M.S.Nagra, AAG, Punjab.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus hence directing respondents No. 1 to 3 to give protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners, and also against any interference in the peaceful life of the petitioners being made at the behest of respondents No. 4 to 9.

2. The learned State Counsel, does not have any objection, to an order being made by this Court to the respondents concerned, to look into and decide through a speaking order, representation Annexure P-4.

3. Consequently, this Court directs the respondents concerned, to within three weeks hereafter, hence decide Annexure P-4, through a speaking order.

4. At this stage, Mr. Gaurav Rana, Advocate, under memo of appearance, records his appearance on behalf of respondents No. 4, 5, and,

7, and, submits that though they have no objection to the marriage solemnized inter se the co-petitioners, as both of them have acquired the age of discretion to enter into a lawful wedlock, but he submits that the parents of co-petitioner No. 1 have aspired to access their daughter hence for knowing about her happiness, and, well being in the company of co-petitioner No. 2.

5. The above prayer cannot be rejected, as it is the natural aspiration of the parents to access their married daughter rather for inquiring into her well being, and, happiness.

6. In consequence, co-respondent No. 3 is directed to ensure that on a date convenient to both the parties, he accompanies the parents of co-petitioner No. 1, at the abode where co-petitioner No. 1, is extantly residing in the company of co-petitioner No. 1, to enable them to access, and, interact with their daughter.

7. Petition is disposed of.

8. A copy of this order be given dasti on payment of usual charges.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

July 14, 2022
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No