

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 209

CRM-M-33375-2021

Date of decision : 17.2.2022

RANJEET SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.AS Brar, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms.Gunkirat Kaur, AAG, Punjab

Mr.Sukhmeet Singh, Advocate for the complainant

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.75, dated 02.07.2021, registered under Sections 336, 379B, 148, 149 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Sadar Moga, District Moga.

On 18.8.2021, this Court had passed the following order: -

“Case taken up through Video Conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case at the behest of the complainant for the reason that the complainant has a civil suit pending against co-accused – Basant Singh who is the petitioner's friend; there is no other criminal case pending against the petitioner; even if the case of the prosecution is accepted, though vehemently denied, the only allegation against the petitioner is that he came to plough Basant Singh's land which was at the asking of Basant Singh and did not violate any Court order and that the petitioner is

ready and willing to join investigation as and when called by the investigating agency.

Notice of motion.

Mr.J.S.Ghumman, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

For arguments, adjourned to 08.11.2021.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.75, dated 02.07.2021, registered under Sections 336, 379B, 148, 149 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Sadar Moga, District Moga, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer."

Learned State counsel submits that in pursuance to the afore quoted order the petitioner has joined the investigation; has cooperated with the investigating agency and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

In view of the above, the order of this Court dated 18.8.2021, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

17.2.2022
gsv

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No