

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 118

CRM-M-26052-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision : 25.07.2022

Pankaj Sharma

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

Pooja Sharma and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.Ramnish Puri, Advocate, for the petitioner.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

This is the tenth consecutive occasion when either there was no appearance on behalf of the petitioner or a request for an adjournment had been made by learned counsel for the petitioner. Today, adjournment is sought on the ground that he has not received any response to the various communications addressed by him to his client.

In the light of the repeated adjournments sought and got as also the fact that the petitioner is not responding to the communications addressed to him by his counsel it can safely be presumed that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present petition.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

25.07.2022

shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No