

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

237

CRM-M-28529-2020

Date of Decision: September 29, 2022

ARUNA DEVI

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Maninder Singh Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M. S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate
for respondents No.5 and 6.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J.(Oral)

Notice of motion in this case was issued on 18.09.2020. Pursuant to which reply has been filed. The relevant part of it is as under:-

“It was found in the inquiry that the petitioner is moving above said false applications against respondents No.5 and 6 with an intention not to return Rs.20,000/- to respondent No.5 with whom, Lakhvir Singh husband of the petitioner pledged above said Aactiva Scooty for Rs.20,000/- and with an intention not to return Rs.20,000/- to respondent No.6 from whom Lakhvir Singh husband of petitioner borrowed Rs.20,000/-. Therefore, it is recommended to file the above said applications and sent the inquiry report to SSP, Hosiarpur through DSP Sub-Divison Mukerian for further action and the SSP approved the inquiry

and filed the application of the petitioner Aruna Devi.”

In view of above, no further order is required to be passed in this case. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to avail of any other alternate remedy which law permits.

Disposed of.

September 29, 2022
rimpal

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No