

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

220

**CRM-M-8613-2018(O&M)
Date of decision: 09.11.2022**

GURCHARAN SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Amit Kashyap, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Joshi, Additional AG Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 CrPC for directing the respondent No.2 and 3 for taking strict action against the respondent Nos.4 to 6 and for protection of life, liberty and property of the petitioner from the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 6.

Notice of motion in this case was issued on 28.02.2018, pursuant to which reply dated 08.02.2019 by way of affidavit of Narinder Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Zira, District Ferozepur had been filed.

Learned State counsel makes a reference to the statement of the petitioner appended with the reply as Annexure R-1/T, wherein the petitioner had stated that he does not want any action to be taken on his complain and that he shall withdraw his complaint from the High Court on the date fixed. The statement of the petitioner appended along with the reply is reproduced hereinbelow:-

“Stated that I am resident of above address and doing agriculture work and I have primary pass. I had gone to Mela at Fatehgarh Sabhran, whereby some body told me that police of Police Station Makhu had apprehended your son. He had also disclosed me the names of police employees I had made a telephone call to my counsel at Chandigarh to issue notice to them. But when I reached at my house then I came to know that my son Ranjit Singh was never apprehended by any police employees nor police had demanded any bribe from them. I had given said wrong information only under wrong impression and false information provided to me. But now I do not want to take any action upon my complaint. I shall also withdraw my complaint from the Hon'ble High Court on the date fixed. I have got recorded my statement with you, heard same is correct.”

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Harjit Singh further apprises the Court that the petitioner has now died and submits that the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

In view of the above, no further order is required to be passed in this case and therefore the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

November 09, 2022

S.Sharma(syr)

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>