

131 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30302-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 18.7.2022

Bhupesh Sharma and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of UT, Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.M.S. Saini, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Abhinav Gupta, Addl. PP, UT, Chandigarh.

Mr. Aashutosh Jerath, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has approached this Court praying for quashing of FIR No.20 dated 6.2.2020, registered under Sections 498-A, 406 IPC, at Women Police Station, Sector-17, Chandigarh or directing respondents No.1 and 3 to complete the investigation in specified time frame.

The present FIR was lodged by the complainant Priya Chhibber, wherein she alleged that her marriage was solemnized with petitioner No.1 Bhupesh Sharma on 2.2.2017. Soon after the marriage, the husband and the in-laws started harassing the complainant on account of the demand of dowry. Her in-laws did not stop from harassing her and finally she filed a complaint and on the basis of which the present FIR was lodged . The investigation commenced and the petitioners, who are husband and the mother-in-law of the complainant have approached this Court by way of filing CRM-M-38867-2021 titled as Bhupesh Sharma and another vs. State of Ut, Chandigarh and another, praying for quashing of the FIR. Vide order dated 20.9.2021 this Court issued direction to the State to complete the investigation.

Needless to say that the petitioners have already approached this Court with the same prayer by way of filing CRM-M-38867-2021, where this Court issued direction for completing the investigation with a period of two months. The petitioners again approached this Court praying for the same relief.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioners and in view of the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the opinion that direction has already been issued for completing the investigation, however, the Investigating Agency is directed to comply with the directions already given by this Court vide order dated 20.9.2021, if not already complied with.

Dismissed.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

18.7.2022
sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No