

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

116

CWP-14649-2022

Date of Decision :14.07.2022

Saviti Kumari

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner has been issued a notice dated 25.06.2022 (Annexure P/19) by the respondents proposing as to why, the services of the petitioner be not terminated, whereas, the petitioner was never appointed in pursuance to the selection to the post of clerk.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and on instructions from Mrs. Sukhwinder Kaur, ADA, HSSC submits that notice dated 25.06.2022 (Annexure P/19) be treated as withdrawn in the facts and circumstances of the present case as the petitioner was not given appointment, hence, the question of withdrawing the same by impugned notice does not arise.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the notice dated 25.06.2022 (Annexure P/19) has been withdrawn by the respondents,

the present petition may kindly be disposed off having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to avail an appropriate remedy in case the petitioner has any grievance with regard to the status of her selection in the revised merit list.

Ordered accordingly.

July 14, 2022
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No