

Sr.No.120

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-14728-2022

Date of decision:13.07.2022

Paras Ram

... Petitioner

versus

Superintending Canal Officer and others ... Respondent(s)

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sudhir Mittal

Present: Mr. Aman Dhir, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Sudhir Mittal, J.(Oral)

The petitioner is aggrieved because allegedly his entire agricultural land is not being reflected as “command area” although factually it is so. Thus, representation dated 06.07.2022 (Annexure P-8) has been made to the Deputy Collector but without any positive result. It is prayed that a direction be given to the concerned official to consider the representation in accordance with law and to correct the relevant record.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 5 only.

Mr. Arun K. Kaundal, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 5 and waives service.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, no reply is necessary to be filed.

This writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to decide the pending representation dated 06.07.2022 (Annexure P-8) by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

13th July, 2022

[SUDHIR MITTAL]

Shivani Kaushik

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No