

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.215

**CRM-M No.30007 of 2022
Date of Decision: 11.10.2022**

Arun

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Sandeep Lather, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

Reply submitted on behalf of the respondent-State (by way of the affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Safidon, District Jind), along-with Annexures R-1 to R-4, is already available on the file and all these documents are taken on the record.

However, at this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to the petitioner to file an appeal, as envisaged under Section 14-A of the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, to assail the order dated 15.11.2021 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jind, whereby his prayer for the relief of regular bail has been declined.

Learned State counsel has no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn with the liberty afore-said.

11.10.2022
neetu

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable*

*Yes
No*