

COCp-1897-2020

Date of Decision :23.09.2022

Shree Gaushala Sirsa

...Petitioner

versus

Mahinder Pal

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Sushil Kumar Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the grievance of the petitioner with regard to implementation of order Annexure P-4 dated 18.02.2020 having been complied with, the petitioner does not wish to pursue the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

2. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

3. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

23.09.2022

ps

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*