

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

213

**CWP-15568-2019 (O&M).
Date of Decision: September 02, 2022.**

Jarnail Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Narinder Saini, Advocate, for
Mr. Kuldeep Singh Saini, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. Rishabh Gupta, Advocate, for the respondents.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to restrain from installing the heavy electric tower in the agricultural land measuring 1.5 acres.

2. The writ petition had come up for hearing on 30.5.2019 when the counsel for the petitioners confined the scope of the present writ petition

with regard to compensation to be granted to the petitioners with respect to the land on which towers were being erected.

3. The relevant extract of the said order dated 30.05.2019 is reproduced hereinafter below

“Counsel at the very outset confines the scope of the instant writ petition with regard to compensation to be granted to the land owners/petitioners on account of erection of towers/transmission lines by the respondent-Corporation.”

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent submit that compensation in terms of the order dated 30.05.2019 has already been released in favour of the petitioners and an amount of Rs.10,427/- has been released to petitioner No.1 Jarnail Singh vide cheque No.269854 dated 07.11.2019; an amount of Rs,10,434/- has been released in favour of petitioner No.2 Jagtar Singh and an amount of Rs.10,441/- (approximately) has been released on in favour of petitioner No.3 Jaswant Singh on 07.11.2019. The receipt is duly acknowledged by the respective petitioners. It is thus contended that no further grievance survives in the present writ petition.

5. In view of the statement made by the learned counsel representing the respondents, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. Liberty, however, is granted to the petitioners to seek revival of the present petition in case the statement so made by the counsel representing the respondents is found to be incorrect.

September 02, 2022
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No