

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.204-3

CRM-M-27892-2020

Date of decision: 03.03.2022

Pardeep Kumar and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Krishan Mehta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.76 dated 17.07.2020 registered under Sections 420, 34 IPC at Police Station Phull, District Bathinda.

On September 17, 2020, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Case taken up through video conferencing.

After arguing the matter at length, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks unconditional withdrawal of the present petition qua petitioner No.1.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn qua petitioner No.1 – Pardeep Kumar.

So far as petitioner No.2 is concerned, learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that against petitioner No.2 who is petitioner No.1's wife, there are only vague and unsubstantiated allegations and she has no role whatsoever in the offense that her husband is alleged of.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Adjourned to 24.11.2020.

In the meanwhile subject to petitioner No.2's joining investigation as and when

called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, in the event of her arrest in FIR No.76 dated 17.07.2020 registered under Sections 420 and 34 IPC, 1908 at Police Station Phull, District Bathinda, she shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.”

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, petitioner No.2 has joined the investigation; co-operated with the investigating agency and that her custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the submissions made on behalf of petitioner No.2 in the afore-quoted order and the statement made on behalf of the State, the interim order of this Court dated 17.09.2020, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to petitioner No.2 is made absolute.

March 03, 2022

Jyoti 1

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Yes/No
Yes/No