

114.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-14972-2022

Date of Decision: 15.07.2022

MOHAN

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Ajay Kadyan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction to respondent No.2 for deciding the representations dated 23.12.2021 and 23.05.2022 (Annexure P-9) whereby the petitioner has sought 5 marks under the Socio Economic Criteria, for his selection to the post of Sub Inspector (Male).

Counsel for the petitioner would submit that if said marks are given to him, he would come into the zone of consideration as the cut off marks under the BCA category was 63.40 whereas he has scored 59.2 marks. Counsel would submit that the petitioner while filling the application form has wrongly filled the column of Socio Economic Criteria as "Yes" considering himself in a government job whereas he was working as a Gramin Dak Sewak in a Postal Department on contractual basis. He would

submit that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied in case his representations are decided in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Kirti Singh, DAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that the respondents will look into the matter and will take a decision on the representations of the petitioner.

Let sufficient number of copies of the complete paper book be supplied to her during the course of day.

Without commenting on merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide representations dated 23.12.2021 and 23.05.2022 (Annexure P-9) of the petitioner within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law and convey the decision to the petitioner. In case of failure to do so, the officer responsible for the lapse would be liable to costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket, to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

15.07.2022

sanjeev

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No