

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.112

**CRM-M No.29388 of 2022
Date of Decision: 27.09.2022**

Balister Tyagi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Kanwar Abhay Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ambika Sood, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 5.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

Status-report submitted on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2, by way of the affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Murthal, Sonipat, is already available on the file and the same is taken on the record.

However, at the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that as regards the prayer of the petitioner qua the protection of his life and liberty, he (petitioner) would move a fresh representation to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Sonipat, exclusively praying therein for the said protection only and so far as the remaining relief, as sought by him in the present petition, is concerned, the petitioner would avail the appropriate, alternative and efficacious remedy, as may be permissible to him under law, for this purpose and he further prays that respondent No.2 be directed to look into and take appropriate action on the fresh

.....

representation of the petitioner within some specific time frame.

Learned State counsel has no objection to the afore-discussed submission as well as the prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn with a direction to respondent No.2 that in case the petitioner moves any fresh representation to him restricting his prayer therein to the protection of his life and liberty only, he shall look into the same and if the petitioner would be found to be genuinely deserving the said protection, then he shall take appropriate action, in accordance with law, in the given set of the facts and circumstances of the matter, preferably within a period of ten (10) days.

27.09.2022	(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
neetu	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No