

226

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-15307-2019
Date of decision: 12.09.2022**

DEVENDER SINGH AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Rajat Mor, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to give benefits to the petitioners, who were formerly employees of Indian Army as per the Government Rules dated 23.02.2016 (Annexure P-2), letter dated 22.05.2014 (Annexure P-4) as well as letter dated 03/07.05.2018 (Annexure P-5) and re-fix the pay of the petitioners accordingly.

Learned counsel for the petitioners herein would contend that petitioner No.1 has since expired, however, as per the written statement filed on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3, all the necessary benefits have been accorded to him and, therefore, he does not press the instant writ petition qua petitioner No.1.

As regard, petitioner No.2, learned counsel does not press the instant writ petition qua him in view of the said written statement filed to the effect that on his superannuation, all the necessary benefits under the said letters would be accorded to him.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the factual position.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have perused the written statement.

In view of the fact that a categoric stand has been taken that surviving petitioner i.e. petitioner No.2 will be given all necessary benefits on his superannuation, the instant writ petition stands disposed of in terms of the written statement filed.

Needles to say, in case the said benefits are not allowed, the petitioners would be at liberty to approach this Court afresh.

12.09.2022
Chetan Thakur

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*