

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-16047-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision:17.02.2022**

Bharat Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

Present:- Mr. Karan Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Aman Bahri, Advocate for respondent No.2. Haryana
Housing Board.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)

Petitioner is an ex-serviceman.

In the petition it has been averred that the petitioner had applied for a flat in a scheme floated by Housing Board, Haryana. In the draw of lots held on 29.12.2014, petitioner was declared successful. The flat was situated at Sampla. Petitioner was called upon to deposit 15% of the total cost within 30 days. The same was done in the year 2015 itself.

As per document placed on record at Annexure P-2 i.e. a communication dated 08.02.2018 addressed to the petitioner, it was informed that on account of some technical reasons, the flats cannot be constructed at Sampla. Accordingly, an option was given to the petitioner to either give consent within 30 days to accept the flat at Jhajjar or for refund of the amount deposited for allotment of flat at Sampla.

On 28.02.2018 (Annexure P-3), well within 30 days stipulated time frame, petitioner opted for refund.

Instant petition has been filed in the year 2021 raising a grievance that the refund has still not been made.

During the course of resumed hearing today, counsel for the petitioner makes a statement that during the pendency of the instant writ petition, the refund amount along-with interest has been received by the petitioner.

In view of the above, nothing survives for adjudication.

Writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

17.02.2022

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No