

110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR-1655-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 18.07.2022

JAGDISH RAI

...Petitioner

Versus

DEEPAK KUMAR AND ANR

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

CRM-23619-2022

1. In respect of FIR No.62 of 18.05.2008, registered at Police Station Odhan, constituting therein offences under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 of IPC, the learned trial Magistrate concerned, after assuming jurisdiction, and, cognizance thereons hence proceeded to acquit the accused.
2. The aggrieved therefrom hence the victim-complainant, instituted thereagainst an appeal bearing No.17 of 2013, before the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sirsa, and, thereons, through a decision made, on 16.12.2016, the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sirsa made a verdict of dismissal, and, affirmed the verdict of acquittal, as became recorded initially by the learned JMJC Dabwali. However, the victim-complainant still remained aggrieved, and, instituted the present criminal revision petition before this Court.
3. However, through the instant application wherewith becomes appended a compromise recorded *inter-se* the victim-complainant-revisionist, and, the accused, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits, that the craved

for leave to allow the application, and, to thereafter dismiss as withdraw the criminal revision petition be hence accorded.

4. In view of the above, the main case is taken on board today.

MAIN CASE

In view of the compromise, as, appended with CRM-23619-2022, as, Annexure A-1, the criminal revision petition, is dismissed as withdrawn. Impugned verdicts are maintained, and, affirmed.

Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand(s), disposed of.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

18.07.2022

ithlesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No