

153 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN-1314-2022

Date of Decision :12.07.2022

Rosey Pathak

...Petitioner

Versus

Ranjit Gill

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Jag Nahar Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Learned counsel contends that the respondent has failed to make payment of rent in terms of order, Annexure P/1 dated 12.10.2017 in CR No.7058 of 2017, therefore, the orders passed by the learned Rent Controller ordering the eviction of the respondent, are liable to be enforced.

[2] Position noted above, does not call for initiating of proceedings against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. Faced with the aforementioned position, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for permission to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to the petitioner to take out appropriate proceedings against the respondent in accordance with law to seek possession of the premises in accordance with the orders of the learned Rent Controller.

[3] In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of

Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner to take out appropriate proceedings against the respondent in accordance with law to seek possession of the premises in accordance with the orders of the learned Rent Controller.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

12.07.2022
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No