

Ram Parkash

...Petitioner

versus

P.K. Dhaka

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. K.K. Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1 dated 08.03.2022 in CWP-744-2011.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P-1 reveals that CWP-744-2011 was disposed of by directing the competent authority to look into the grievance of the petitioner as well as by treating the writ petition as supplementary representation and to take a decision in respect thereto in accordance with law, in the light of administrative instructions issued by the State of Haryana within 45 days.

3. Pursuant to the notice issued to the respondent, learned DAG has produced copy of speaking order dated 02.12.2022 accepting the claim of the petitioner. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner who states that in the circumstances, he does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of

liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 02.12.2022 to the extent the same is adverse to the interest of the petitioner.

4. The same is not opposed to by the learned State counsel.

5. In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

05.12.2022

ps

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>