

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(262)

CWP-14578-2022

Date of Decision : July 18, 2022

Birjesh Kumari

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Surinder Kumar Daaria, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Mann, Additional Advocate General,
Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

On the last date of hearing, the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the process of selection for appointment to the post of TGT English was initiated vide advertisement No.09 of 2015 and the petitioner cleared the written examination and was called for scrutiny of the documents in the year 2016, when the petitioner duly appeared and got the documents scrutinized but the selection could not be finalized due to pending litigation in this Court and now, once again vide notice dated 04.06.2022 (Annexure P-17), the short listed candidates were called for submission of the documents again but the petitioner missed the said notice.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already submitted all the documents along with her application and got the same scrutinized in the year 2016, hence, the earlier scrutiny done of her documents be taken into account while considering the claim of the petitioner or petitioner be given one opportunity to submit the same

documents once again.

Learned counsel submits that as the selection has not been finalized and the short listed candidates were only called for submission of the documents, the petitioner is ready to submit her documents once again if an opportunity is granted to her.

Notice of motion for 18.07.2022.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and seeks a short adjournment to apprise this Court as to whether the earlier documents submitted by the petitioner along with her application in the year 2016 can be taken into consideration or the petitioner can be given another opportunity to submit the said documents once again.”

Today, learned State counsel submits that he has instructions to submit before this Court that the authorities concerned are contemplating issuance of another notice with regard to the candidates, who were similarly situated as the petitioner so as to allow them to submit their documents hence as and when the said notice is issued, the petitioner can avail the said remedy and the said notice is likely to be issued very shortly.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned State counsel, no further grievance of the petitioner survives at this stage and the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

July 18, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No