

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

217

CRM-M-29329-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 06.09.2022

Rekpal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Sudhir Kumar Hooda, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered against him vide FIR No.56 dated 19.04.2022 at Police Station Mansa Devi Complex, Panchkula, under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC.
2. At the time of issuance of notice of motion on 12.07.2022, the following order was passed:

“The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered against him vide FIR No.56 dated 19.4.2022, Police Station Mansa Devi Complex, Panchkula, under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC.

The allegations, in nutshell are that the petitioner as well as co-accused Gurdev Singh while holding out false representations of doubling the amount deprived the complainant and his family of an amount of Rs.3.5 lakhs.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the FIR came to be lodged on account of some misunderstanding and the matter has been resolved and a compromise was effected on 30.5.2022 (Annexure P-2), as per which the entire amount of Rs.3.5 lakhs stands returned. It has

also been submitted that co-accused Gurdev Singh has already been granted bail.

Learned counsel has submitted that although the compromise dated 30.5.2022 (Annexure P-2) is recorded between Roopwati complainant and co-accused Gurdev Singh, but in para No.3 it is specifically mentioned that the petitioner has nothing to do with the FIR and that he has no role in the said FIR.

Notice of motion for 06.09.2022.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Arresting/Investigating Officer and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

3. Learned State counsel upon instructions has informed that pursuant to interim directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has since joined investigation and is not required for any custodial interrogation.
4. Having regard to the aforestated position, wherein the petitioner is stated to have joined investigation and is not required for any further custodial interrogation, the petition is accepted and the interim directions issued by this Court vide order dated 12.07.2022 are hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

06.09.2022

Vimal

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGEWhether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**Whether reportable: **Yes/No**