

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

218

CRM-M-29480 of 2022
Date of Decision: 29.09.2022

Suresh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Mohan Singh Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, DAG, Haryana

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.91 dated 19.05.2022, under Sections 184, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Bahin, District Palwal.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is in custody from 19.05.2022. He submitted that the investigation of the case has already been completed and the report under Section 173 of the Code of Criminal Procedure has been presented to the competent Court. He submitted that no recovery is to be effected from the petitioner. He further submitted that the petitioner has clean antecedents and he is not involved in any other case. He also submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are arising out of a financial dispute which has been given the colour of blackmailing. He further submitted that be that as it may the trial of the case may take long time and therefore the

petitioner may be considered for the grant of regular bail.

On the other hand, Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, DAG Haryana has stated that it is correct that the petitioner is in custody from 19.05 2022 and after completion of the investigation, challan has been presented in the competent Court. He has however stated that an amount of Rs. 1 lac was recovered from the co-accused of the petitioner. So far as the antecedents of the present petitioner is concerned, he stated that it is correct that the petitioner is not involved in any other case.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner is in custody from 19.05.2022 and the investigation of the case has already been completed. As per the learned counsels for the parties, the petitioner is not involved in any other case. Furthermore, it is not the case of the State that in case the petitioner is released on bail, then he may abscond from justice or he may influence any witness or may tamper with evidence.

Therefore, keeping in view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, this Court deems it fit and proper to grant bail to the petitioner. Consequently, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner shall be released on regular bail subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on merits of the case and is only meant for the purpose of decision of present petition.

September 29, 2022
dinesh

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No