

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRM-M No. 28997 of 2022

Date of Decision: 11.7.2022

Reena Denial

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Vishal Thakur, Advocate for
Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pardeep Prakash Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition, cast under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioner craves indulgence of hers becoming admitted to anticipatory bail, in respect of FIR bearing No. 667 of 13.9.2020, registered at Police Station Sadar Karnal, District Karnal, constituting therein offences, under Sections 420, 406, 370, 506, 384 of the IPC (Sections 370, and, 384 IPC were added later on), and, under Section 24 of the Immigration Act, 1983.

2. Mr. Ankit Mittan, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of respondent No. 2, and, files memo of appearance.

3. There appears to be no necessity for delving deep into the merits of the case, as the learned counsel for the petitioner, as well as the learned counsel for respondent No. 2, conjointly stated before this Court, that a compromise has occurred in respect of the petition FIR, amongst the petitioner, and, the respondent-complainant. The effect of the afore conjoint

statement, made at the bar, by the above counsels, constrains this Court to exercise the asked for discretion in favour of the present petitioner.

4. In consequence, the instant petition is allowed. The bail applicant-petitioner is admitted to anticipatory bail, and, in the event of her arrest, she may not be arrested by the investigating officer concerned. However, subject to the bail applicant-petitioner furnishing personal, and, surety bonds in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- each, to the satisfaction of the arresting officer. Furthermore, the bail applicant-petitioner shall also give an undertaking before the arresting officer, that as and when she is summoned through a written Hukamnama, she shall ensure hers rendering her cooperation to the investigating officer. Moreover, she shall also give an undertaking that she shall not influence the prosecution witnesses, nor shall tamper with the prosecution evidence.

5. The afore observations are meant only for the disposal of the present petition, and, shall not affect the merits of the trial arising from the FIR (supra).

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

July 11, 2022
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No