

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

116

CRM-M-31690-2022
Date of Decision:03.08.2022

Hemant Vasisht

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Notice served upon the official respondents through the State counsel.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be contended and satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2 to decide the representation (P-3) within the time bound manner.

The prayer being innocuous is not opposed.

Given above, respondent No.2- The Commissioner of Police, Gurugram, to decide the representation dated (P-3) by passing a speaking and reasoned order within *thirty working days* from today.

In case, the grievance of the petitioner still exists, liberty is reserved to avail legal remedy in accordance with law including approaching this Court.

Petition is allowed to the extent above. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

03.08.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	No