

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

263

CRM-M-28018-2019

Date of Decision: 11.10.2022

Inder Mohan Kapoor

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA**

Present: Mr. Ravinder Malik, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**ANOOP CHITKARA, J.**

Learned State counsel has opposed the present petition on the ground that the charges have been framed, as such the petition has become infructuous because a judicial order has overlapped the FIR and eclipsed the police report.

At this stage, confronted with this, learned counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw the present petition with the observations that the time for which the present petition was pending, shall not be counted for the purpose of limitation, in case he challenges the order of framing of charges.

Prayer being innocuous, is accepted.

Given above, the petition is disposed of as withdrawn. It is clarified that in case, the petitioner challenges the order of framing of charges, the period of pendency of the present petition shall not be counted and the limitation will start from today.

Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)  
JUDGE**

11.10.2022

*anju rani*

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable?

Yes/no  
Yes/no