

250

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-29415-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision : 14.12.2022**

Virender Rana

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present : Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K. Ambavta, AAG, Haryana
for respondent No.1-State.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioner is seeking quashing of FIR No.230 dated 2nd of June, 2022 registered for the offence punishable under Section 174A IPC, at Police Station Sector-7, Panchkula, District Panchkula (Annexure P-1), and all subsequent proceedings arising thereto.

2. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was summoned to face trial for offence punishable under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. Owing to his absence therein he was declared as Proclaimed Offender vide order dated 22nd of October, 2021 (Annexure P-3) which further led to registration of impugned FIR under Section 174A IPC. He submits that now proceedings arising out of

complaint under Section 138 of the N.I. Act already stands settled and the complaint has been ordered to be dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 23rd of December, 2021 which has been placed on record as Annexure P-4. He, thus, contends that in the circumstances continuation of the present proceedings under Section 174A IPC shall amount to an abuse of process of law.

3. Ld. State Counsel admits that fact that the principal complaint filed under Section 138 of the N.I. Act stands withdrawn.

4. I have heard counsel for the parties and have gone through the records of the case.

5. The question w.r.t. continuation of the proceedings under Section 174A IPC after the complaint filed under Section 138 of the N.I. Act already stands compromised is no more *res integra* and already stands answered by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CRM-M-43813-2018 titled as **“Baldev Chand Bansal Vs. State of Haryana and another”** vide order dated 29.01.2019, which held as under:

“Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.64 dated 15.02.2017 filed under Section 174-A of the Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Sector-5, Panchkula and all other subsequent proceedings arising thereof as well as order dated 24.10.2016 passed by the trial Court vide which a direction was issued to register the aforesaid FIR.”

xx xxx xxxx

*Learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the decisions rendered by this Court in “ **Vikas Sharma vs. Gurpreet Singh Kohli and another (supra), 2017, (3)***

L.A.R.584, Microqual Techno Limited and others Vs. State of Haryana and another, 2015 (32) RCR (Crl.) 790 and “Rajneesh Khanna Vs. State of Haryana and another” 2017 (3) L.A.R. 555 wherein in an identical circumstance, this Court has held that since the main petition filed under Section 138 of the Act stands withdrawn in view of an amicable settlement between the parties, therefore, continuation of proceedings under Section 174A of IPC shall be nothing but an abuse of the process of law.

xx xxx xxxx

In view of the same, I find merit in the present petition and accordingly, present petition is allowed and the impugned order dated 24.10.2016 passed by Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Panchkula as well as FIR No.64 dated 15.02.2017 registered under Section 174-A of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station Sector-5, Panchkula and all other subsequent proceedings arising thereof, are hereby quashed."

6. Same is the view of another Co-ordinate Bench in the “**Ashok Madaan vs. State of Haryana and another**” reported as **2020 (4) RCR (Criminal) 87**, wherein it has been held that:

“No doubt, the learned counsel for the respondent has vehemently argued that the offence under Section 174-A I.P.C. is independent of the main case, therefore, merely because the main case has been dismissed for want of prosecution, the present petition cannot be allowed, however, keeping in view the fact that the present FIR was registered only on account of absence from the proceedings in the main case which had been subsequently regularised by the court while granting bail to the petitioner, the default stood condoned. In such circumstances, continuation of proceedings under Section

174A I.P.C. shall be abuse of the process of court.

7. Accordingly, the petition is allowed. FIR No. 446 dated 21.08.2017, registered under Section 174A I.P.C. at Police Station Kotwali, District Faridabad, as well as consequential proceedings shall stand quashed.”

7. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and in view of the principles settled by this Court, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.230 dated 2nd of June, 2022 registered for the offence punishable under Section 174A IPC, at Police Station Sector-7, Panchkula, District Panchkula, (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising thereto and all proceedings subsequent thereto, are hereby quashed *qua* the present petitioner.

December 14, 2022
Dpr

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No