

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.240

**CRR No.68 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 04th August, 2022.**

Buta Singh @ Gola

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & Another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate,
for the revisionist-petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, DAG, Punjab,
for respondent No.1-State.

* * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the revisionist-petitioner informs that the present petition has become infructuous and he prays that the same may be disposed of accordingly.

Learned State counsel has no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

04.08.2022.
seema

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes
Whether Reportable?	No