

**222 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7700-2021

Date of Decision: 14th September, 2022

Harjinder Singh and others

Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. N.S. Dandiwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Luthra, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

As per office report notice to respondents No. 4 and 5 could not be issued due to non-filing of process fee.

However, for the order being passed the service upon respondents No. 4 and 5 is not necessitated at this stage.

This petition is filed seeking directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of the petitioners and their family members at the hands of private respondents.

The grievance raised in the petition is that the representation dated 6th August, 2021 is not being decided and the petitioners are being harassed by calling them to the police station.

From the perusal of the representation, it is forthcoming that the dispute is with regard to the possession of a mortgaged land. The dispute *prima facie* has a tone and tenor of civil in nature.

Learned State Counsel on instructions from ASI Sikandar Singh submits that as on date petitioners are not involved in any FIR,

they were being called to the police station with regard to the application filed by them.

Considering the contents of the representation, no interference and directions are called for by this Court and in view of the statement made by learned State Counsel, petition is disposed of.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

14th September, 2022
anuradha

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |