

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29431-2022

Date of Decision: 12.07.2022

Vijender and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

Through this second petition (the first one having been dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file a fresh one with better particulars), the petitioners seek anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.82 dated 19.03.2021, registered at Police Station Ballabgarh Sadar, District Faridabad, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 506 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners were earlier granted *ad interim* anticipatory bail, vide orders dated 30.07.2021 and 11.08.2021, respectively, passed by learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Faridabad; that in pursuance of the aforesaid orders, the petitioners joined investigation and the orders were made absolute, vide orders dated 26.08.2021 passed by learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Faridabad, but their anticipatory bail has been dismissed after the receipt of the FSL report.

Learned counsel further contends that the complainant is the real cousin of the petitioners and they used to reside in a joint family. He further contends that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in the present case and no offence, whatsoever, has been committed by them, as

alleged in the FIR.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioners.

As per the case of the prosecution, the complainant and the petitioners were living in a joint family; that in the absence of the complainant, the petitioners had received his cheque book issued by the bank and that they, in connivance with each other, had withdrawn the amount of Rs.9,50,000/- from his saving bank account by forging his signatures. The FSL report also indicates that the cheques were not signed by the complainant.

Keeping in view the nature and gravity of the offence, this Court finds that the petitioners are required for custodial interrogation to take the investigation to its logical end.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

12.07.2022

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes/No