

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT  
CHANDIGARH**

395

CWP-15003-2022  
Date of Decision: 15.09.2022

**SUMAN AND OTHERS**

... Petitioners

**Versus**

**STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS**

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.**

\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Pushpender Singh, Advocate for  
Mr. J.P. Jangu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana  
for the respondents No.1 and 5.

Mr. R.D. Bawa, Advocate with  
Mr. Dipanshu Kapur, Advocate  
for the respondents.

\*\*\*\*

**VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)**

The instant petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of the India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to pay the compensation to the tune of Rs.2 crore on account of death of Ravinder, husband of petitioner No.1 who died due to electrocution because of negligence on the part of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that deceased Ravinder was 47 years old at the time of his death. He used to run a hardware shop on the ground floor of his house. On 07.05.2021, he was sitting alongwith his wife in the balcony on second floor of his house. On account of the breeze, the decease Ravinder received a strong electric shock from 11 KV overhead electric wires that were hanging at a very low height. Ravinder died as a result of the injuries so sustained due to electrocution. An FIR

No.0157 dated 07.05.2021 was also registered at Police Station: Sector 9A, District Gurugram.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents contends that the incident is dated 07.05.2021 and that the policy dated 15.07.2019 notified by the DHBVNL relating to compensation to the victims for fatal/non-fatal accidents was then in force and that in the event of the petitioners submitting their claim before the competent authority as per the policy, the same shall be considered and an expeditious decision in terms of the above policy shall be taken thereupon.

The aforesaid contention/offer is accepted by the counsel for the petitioners without prejudice to their rights.

The present petition is thus disposed of with the consent of the respective parties and without prejudice to their respective rights and without commenting on the merits of their respective claims granting liberty to the petitioners to approach the respondent authorities for seeking disbursement of compensation in terms of applicable policy.

Needless to mention that in the event of filing of such claim/representation by the petitioners before the competent authority as per the policy, the same shall be decided expeditiously by the competent authority preferably within a period of 04 months from the date of filing of such claim/representation, after granting an effective opportunity of hearing to the respective parties.

Accordingly, the present petition stands disposed of.

**15.09.2022**  
**Rajender**

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : Yes/No  
*Whether reportable* : Yes/No