

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(218)

CWP No. 14125 of 2020

Date of Decision : 22.04.2022

Ganpat Rai

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Karan Singh, Advocate for
Mr. R.P. Dangi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Narender Singh Behgal, A.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Balwinder Sangwan, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate for respondents No. 5 and 6.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the payment for which the petitioner is entitled for, has already been received by him, but as the petitioner could not use the amount, which have been refunded now after re-fixation, petitioner is also entitled for the grant of interest on the said amount hence, he be given liberty to approach the respondents to claim interest on the refunded amount by filing appropriate representation.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-bank submits that in case any such representation is filed by the petitioner

seeking the claim of interest, the same will be processed and appropriate order on the prayer of the petitioner will be passed within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such representation and in case after the decision, it is found that petitioner is entitled for any interest, the same will also be given to the petitioner within a period of four weeks thereafter.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-bank, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

April 22, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No