

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.127

CWP No.14585 of 2022

Date of Decision: 12.07.2022

Naresh Kumar Goyal

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ramesh Goyat, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner attained the age of superannuation and retired on 30.04.2019, on which date, there was a disciplinary proceeding pending against the petitioner, due to which certain pensionary benefits of the petitioner were withheld, but now, the said proceeding has been dropped by the respondents. Hence, the petitioner is entitled to the pensionary benefits forthwith, along with interest as respondents delayed the release of the pensionary benefits, keeping in view the allegations alleged against the petitioner, which were not proved by the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner is eligible for the grant of interest on the ground that the petitioner was to return the loan which he had taken from the department but the same could not be returned as his pensionary benefits were withheld and the petitioner is being charged interest on the said loan.

Learned counsel submits that the grievance as raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioner in the representation dated 16.05.2022 (Annexure P-10), which is still pending

consideration with respondent No.3 and the petitioner will be satisfied if respondent No.3 is directed to decide the same in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection with regard to deciding the representation dated 16.05.2022 (Annexure P-10) moved by the petitioner, in a time bound manner.

Without going into the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the representation dated 16.05.2022 (Annexure P-10) moved by the petitioner by passing an appropriate speaking order on the same within a period of 8 weeks from the receipt of copy of this order and in case, after the decision on the representation, the petitioner is found entitled for any relief, the same be released to him within a period of 4 weeks thereafter.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

12.07.2022

Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No