

**264 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH
CRR-1001-2017
Date of Decision: 10th August, 2022**

Dev Raj

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : None for the petitioner.
Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

This revision petition is filed aggrieved of order dated 3rd December, 2016 vide which wrongly dismissed the application under Section 319 Cr.P.C..

On 14th March, 2022, following order was passed:-

“As per office report, notices issued to respondents No.2 and 3 received back unserved.

Learned counsel for petitioner seeks more time to serve respondents No.2 and 3.

Last opportunity was granted on 11.2.2020. One more opportunity is granted subject to deposit of Rs.2000/- with the Poor Patient Welfare Fund of PGIMER, Chandigarh.

Let dasti notices to respondents No. 2 and 3 be issued for 10.8.2022.”

None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

As per the office report, deposit receipt of Rs.2000/- was not received and counsel did not come to collect *dasti* notices.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

10th August, 2022

PARVEEN SHARMA
2022.08.10 21:16
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
High Court, Chandigarh

Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No