

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRA-D-544-2022

Date of decision: 01.08.2022

Tarunjit

....Appellant

V/s.

NIA and another

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA**

Present: Mr. A.S.Shera, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Addl. Solicitor General of India
with Mr. Gaurav Pathak, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl.A.G., Haryana with
Mr. Saurabh Mago, A.A.G., Haryana.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral)

By this appeal, the appellant is seeking regular bail in case FIR No. 36 dated 19.02.2022 under Sections 16/17/18/19/20/21 of the Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967 (Amendment 2012), 120-B IPC and Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 of IPC (added later on) and Sections 25/54/59 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Moohana, District Sonipat.

Learned counsel for the State has filed reply by way of affidavit of the Additional Superintendent of Police, Sonipat dated 31.07.2022 on behalf of respondent No.2-State, which is taken on record. It is stated therein that after investigation, final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. was submitted in the NIA Court against all the six accused including the appellant-Tarunjit @ Tarun on 16.06.2022 and now the case is pending before the NIA Court, Panchkula for compliance under Section 207 IPC.

Keeping in view that the challan has already been presented against the appellant, learned counsel for the appellant seeks to withdraw the present appeal to seek alternate remedy in accordance with law.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

01.08.2022
Divyanshi

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No