

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6603-2022

Date of decision: 12.07.2022

MOHIT AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Khalid Tauru, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of a mandamus hence directing respondent Nos. 2 & 3 to give protection to the life, and liberty, of the petitioners, who are living in live-in relationship, and against any interference in the peaceful life of the petitioners being made at the behest of respondents No. 4 to 6.

2. The learned State Counsel, does not have any objection, to an order being made by this Court to the respondents concerned, to look into and decide through a speaking order, representation Annexure P-3.

3. Consequently, this Court directs respondents concerned, to within three weeks hereafter, hence decide Annexure P-3, through a speaking order.

4. Petition is disposed of.

5. However, the afore order is subject to the condition that, upon

age of the co-petitioner Payal, and, in case from the birth certificate concerned, it is clear that she is below 18 years, thereupon, upon, a complaint being filed by the father of the afore, it is open for the investigating officer concerned, to draw an appropriate action, against the accused, but in accordance with law. Nonetheless, he may not arrest him without issuing seven days notice upon him.

6. A copy of this order be given dasti on payment of usual charges.

July 12, 2022

'Ithlesh'

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>