

(225)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28787-2022

Date of Decision: 13.07.2022

Gagandeep Singh & another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Narinder Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, D.A.G, Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

The prayer in the present petition is for the grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.24 dated 27.02.2022 registered under Sections 307, 323, 148, 149, 336 and 506 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act at Police Station Cheema, District Sangrur.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners states that the present case is a version and cross-version and the cross-version was registered at the instance of the petitioners' side against the present complainant party (Annexure P-1). He contends that being closely related with each other and due to the intervention of the respectables, a compromise has been effected

between the parties on 27.02.2022. As per the compromise deed (Annexure P-2) both the parties have approached this Court for quashing of the FIR and cross-version on the basis of compromise vide CRM-M-13957-2022 and CRM-M-13862-2022. He further contends that the co-accused of the petitioners have been granted the concession of interim anticipatory bail vide orders dated 09.05.2022 passed in CRM-M-19467-2022, 27.05.2022 passed in CRM-M-20832-2022 and 01.06.2022 passed in CRM-M-24870-2022 and the said orders have since been confirmed. It is lastly contended that the petitioners were arrested on 27.02.2022 and the challan already stands submitted and therefore, further incarceration of the petitioners is not required.

3. On the other hand, the learned State counsel does not deny the factum of a compromise effected between the parties as also the fact that the co-accused of the petitioners have been granted the concession of anticipatory bail. He also submits that in the cross-version also, all the co-accused have either been granted the concession of anticipatory bail or regular bail.

3. I have heard the learned counsel for both the parties at length.

4. Undoubtedly, a compromise has been effected between the parties, pursuant to which respective quashing petitions have been filed by both sides. In these circumstances, the further incarceration of the petitioners is not required.

5. Thus, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioners ordered to be released on bail subject

to their furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

6. The petition stands disposed off.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

13.07.2022

JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No