

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

RFA-2500-2021(O&M)
Date of decision:21.11.2022

BABU LAL AND ORS

...Appellant(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Varun Gupta, Advocate
for the landowner(s).

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.(Oral)

CM-5690-CI-2021

The prayer in this application is to condone the delay of 1019 days in filing the appeal.

Admittedly, the connected appeals arising from the same notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, are pending. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Imrat Lal and others vs. Land Acquisition Collector and others (SC) 2015(2) RCR (Civil) 437, Dhiraj Singh (deceased) thr. LRs vs. Haryana State and others, 2015(2) RCR (Civil) 507 and Jethu Ram (deceased) thr. LRs vs. Union of India and others, 2016(4) Law Herald 3166*, has held that in such cases the delay be condoned subject to the denial of interest to the appellants for the period of delay.

Hence, the application is allowed and delay of 1019 days in filing the appeal is condoned, subject to the condition that the appellants shall not be entitled to interest for the period of delay.

CM-5691-CI-2021

For the reasons mentioned in the application, which is supported by an affidavit, the application for bringing on record the legal representatives of Hari Ram (appellant No.2) is allowed, subject to all the just exceptions.

RFA-2500-2021

For orders, see order of even date passed in **Regular First Appeal No.3420 of 2017**, titled as **Bolat Ram Sharma and Ors. Vs. State of Haryana and Ors.**

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

21.11.2022

Sachin M.

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes

Whether reportable?

No