

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.29359 of 2022
Date of Decision: 12.07.2022**

Simarjeet Kaur and another

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Maneet Kumar Arya, Advocate for
Ms. Sukhveer Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, on the instructions from the arguing counsel, seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that so far as the relief sought by the petitioners regarding taking legal action against private respondents No.5 to 10 is concerned, they (petitioners) would be availing the alternative efficacious and appropriate remedy, as may be permissible to them under law, for this purpose and as regards their prayer qua the protection of their lives and liberty, they would be moving a fresh representation to respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur, exclusively praying therein for the said relief but he prays that the said respondent be directed to look into and take appropriate action on the fresh representation of the petitioners within some specific time frame.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, learned Deputy Advocate General,

Punjab, who has appeared on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 in this case in pursuance of the copies of the instant petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance, has no objection to the above-discussed submission as well as the prayer as made by learned counsel appearing for the petitioners.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn with a direction to respondent No.3 that in case the petitioners move any fresh representation to him restricting their prayer therein for the protection of their lives and liberty only, then he shall look into the same and if the petitioners would be found to be genuinely deserving the said protection, then he shall take appropriate action, in accordance with law, in the given set of the facts and circumstances of the matter, preferably within a period of 10 (ten) days.

July 12, 2022
Yag Dutt

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*

Whether Reportable: *No*