

CRR-4593-2016

Date of decision: 01.06.2022

LALITA

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Jitender Singh Chahal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. H.S.Shekhar, Advocate for respondent No.2.

VIVEK PURI,J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has assailed the orders of the Court below vide which respondent Nos.2 and 3 have been acquitted. On the basis of the statement of the petitioner, the case bearing FIR No. 234 dated 02.10.2007, under Sections 406/498-A/506 IPC, 1860, at Police Station Rai, District Sonapat was registered against respondent Nos.2 and 3. Respondent Nos.2 and 3 were acquitted by the learned trial Court in terms of judgment dated 11.01.2013. The petitioner had preferred an appeal against the judgment of acquittal and the same has also been dismissed by the Court of learned Sessions Judge, Sonapat in terms of judgment dated 26.09.2016.

Through, the present petition, the aforesaid judgments of acquittal have been assailed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the matrimonial dispute has been amicably settled between the parties in terms of compromise deed dated 01.06.2022 and the photostat thereof has been placed on record. Consequently, it has been stated that in terms of amicable settlement, the petitioner does not want to proceed with the revision and the same be dismissed as withdrawn.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

01.06.2022

renubala

(VIVEK PURI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No