

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYAN
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29093-2022

Date of Decision: 14.07.2022

Vikas @ Bhasuri

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. K.D.S. Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in his second attempt under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.479 dated 11.08.2020, under Sections 395, 387, 325, 506, 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station City Hansi, District Hisar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that regular bail was granted to the petitioner vide order dated 08.10.2021 by this Court in CRM-M-10664-2021. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner could not appear before the trial Court on 28.04.2022 as he was picked up by the police of CIA Staff, Hansi on 28.04.2022, but for want of necessary particulars, the application for exemption

filed by his counsel was dismissed, resultantly, his bail was cancelled and bail bonds were also forfeited to the State. Notice was also given to the surety. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the factum of picking of the petitioner by CIA Staff was not in the knowledge of counsel for the petitioner and that is why the same could not be incorporated in the application for seeking exemption before the trial Court. He further submits that though the petitioner was also involved in three more cases bearing FIR No.163 dated 28.02.2022, FIR No.285 dated 19.04.2022 and FIR No.309 dated 30.04.2022, during currency of the bail granted by this Court, but he has been granted bail in the aforesaid cases by the competent Courts. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the bail has been cancelled on the basis of circumstances which were beyond the control of the petitioner. He further submits that the petitioner undertakes to appear before the trial Court regularly on each and every date of hearing.

Learned State counsel however opposed the bail application on the ground that the petitioner is having antecedent behaviour of criminal activities and he even participated in different occurrences during currency of bail granted by this Court.

Earlier bail was granted after taking into consideration the different circumstances on prima facie note, therefore, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/concerned Duty Magistrate.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

14.07.2022

komal

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No